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ABSTRACT

If individuals of different species vary in their risk of acquiring infectious disease, this variation is expected to result in systematic differences in immune defence structures across species. I used phylogenetic comparative methods to examine the correlates of spleen mass in primates, based on a priori hypotheses involving disease risk, sexual selection and correlations among organ systems. All comparative tests controlled for body mass. Contrary to predictions that more social species experience greater risk of acquiring infectious disease and should therefore exhibit increased immune defence, spleen mass was not associated with measures of sociality. Species with slower life histories had larger spleens, as expected if such species come into contact with a greater number of parasites throughout life. However, spleen mass was unrelated to use of the ground or increased mating promiscuity, both of which are thought to increase transmission of parasites. In contrast to patterns documented in previous research on birds, primate spleen mass showed no association with sexual selection involving male–male competition. The comparative tests found only one correlation among the spleen and other organs, involving the liver, which has some immune defence functions early in life. Several factors may explain the general absence of support for patterns in primates, as compared to patterns documented previously in birds, including differences in the expression of sexual selection and the involvement of the mammalian spleen in bodily functions unrelated to immune defence. These analyses suggest that spleen mass is not a useful predictor of disease risk in primates, which is important for future comparative research on the correlates of parasitism in mammals.
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INTRODUCTION

Little is known about how ecological processes involving hosts and their parasites influence differences across host species. If the risk of acquiring infectious disease differs among species and covaries with host features such as social and mating system, then lineages characterized by increased risk of infectious disease should show greater immune defence (Harvey et al., 1991). In tests of mammals, for example, more promiscuous species of
primates and carnivores exhibit higher baseline leukocyte counts (Nunn et al., 2000; Nunn, in press; C.L. Nunn, J.L. Gittleman and J. Antonovics, unpublished data), suggesting that risk of sexually transmitted disease has influenced patterns of immune defence across species.

Similar tests can be conducted with immune defence organs, such as the spleen. Across species of birds, spleen size correlates with prevalence (John, 1995) and species richness (Morand and Poulin, 2000) of nematodes. Spleen mass is likely to reflect immune defence capabilities in mammals because the spleen is involved in maturation of lymphocytes and as a site for identifying and filtering antigens (Harvey et al., 1991; Roitt et al., 1998). Moreover, parasites may result in increased spleen size in infected individuals through the immune response; hence, differences in infection rates by a broad array of parasites can be detected among species using comparative methods.

I used phylogenetic comparative methods to test whether spleen mass correlates with social, ecological and life-history variables thought to influence the risk of acquiring infectious disease in primates. I also examined potential correlates of spleen mass involving sexual selection, body mass and other organ systems. These tests focused on primates because the quantitative data and phylogenetic information needed to test the key hypotheses are readily available. The following list summarizes the predictions tested, the basis for these predictions and previous research on relevant patterns in primates.

1. Animals living in larger social groups or at higher population densities are predicted to have larger spleens because sociality is thought to increase the spread of many parasites (Møller et al., 1993). Previous research on parasite abundance has revealed an association between prevalence and group size in primates (Davies et al., 1991; see also Côté and Poulin, 1995), but comparative studies of primate immune defence parameters have found no association with group size (Nunn et al., 2000; Nunn, in press; S. Semple, G. Cowlishaw and P.M. Bennett, unpublished data).

2. Primates that use the ground for locomotion are expected to have larger spleens than arboreal primates because terrestrial species may be exposed to more parasites in the soil (e.g. Hausfater and Meade, 1982). Previous research has found ambiguous evidence for an effect of terrestriality using leukocyte counts (Nunn et al., 2000; Nunn, in press). Data on the spleen may therefore clarify the relationship between terrestrial substrate use and immune defence in primates.

3. More promiscuous primate species are expected to have relatively larger spleens if this organ plays a role in defending the body from sexually transmitted diseases (STDs). The spleen is involved in detecting blood-borne pathogens (Roitt et al., 1998), some of which are sexually transmitted (Holmes et al., 1994); previous research on leukocyte counts has revealed support for this prediction (Nunn et al., 2000, unpublished).

4. Immune system parameters are predicted to show relationships with life-history traits because species with slow life histories will tend to come into contact with a greater number of parasites throughout life and may therefore harbour a more diverse parasite community (Poulin, 1995). Moreover, species with a slow life history may require increased immunological protection to achieve maximum longevity (see also Møller, 1997b). In carnivores and primates, numbers of phagocytic leukocytes, such as neutrophils, correlate with body mass and some life-history traits (Nunn et al., 2000, unpublished; Nunn, in press).

5. Parasite-mediated sexual selection (Hamilton and Zuk, 1982) is predicted to lead to an association between spleen mass and sexual selection. This effect may operate through
female choice, if females choose males that are resistant to parasites (Møller, 1997a), or sexual selection may influence immune defence organs through male intra-sexual competition, if such competition leads to injury and thus mechanisms that reduce the risk of infection (P.M. Bennett, I.P.F. Owens and A.P. Møller, unpublished data). I focused on male intra-sexual competition, including canine and body mass dimorphism, because female choice is less well documented for mammals than for birds (e.g. Table 6A in Andersson, 1994) and cannot yet be examined comparatively for primates. A previous comparative study of leukocyte counts revealed no correlation with sexual dimorphism in primates (Nunn, in press).

6. The immune response is costly (Sheldon and Verhulst, 1996; Moret and Schmid-Hempel, 2000), suggesting that maintenance of the immune system itself is costly. I tested for trade-offs (negative correlations) among the spleen and other organs, including the brain, lungs, liver and heart. Aiello and Wheeler (1995) made a similar prediction for trade-offs between the brain and digestive system in primates, but their 'expensive tissue' hypothesis has not been tested definitively. For some organ systems, an equally valid alternative to this predicted negative correlation is a positive one. Thus, between two organs with identical bodily functions, selection on one organ may lead to correlated selection on the other, producing a positive association across species.

In addition to the results reviewed above for primates and carnivores, previous research on birds has revealed support for many of these predictions, with sexual selection leading to larger spleens and higher leukocyte counts (Møller, 1997a; Møller et al., 1998b; P.M. Bennett et al., unpublished data) and sociality influencing the immune response (Møller et al., 2001). Moreover, the relationship between spleen mass and testes mass is negative in birds (Morand and Poulin, 2000), suggesting a trade-off between reproductive and immune systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Comparative data

Data on spleen mass of adults were compiled from the literature for 30 species (Table 1). In all cases, data were used only when the source provided a corresponding estimate of body mass. Because sexual selection may generate differences in immune defence between the sexes (Folstad and Karter, 1992; Møller et al., 1998b), I used data on female spleen mass and body mass when data were available for both sexes. Data on thymus mass were available for some species, but the sample sizes were small (n = 9). Moreover, the thymus tends to degenerate in adult animals and becomes inter-digitated with fat (e.g. Kennard and Willner, 1941b; Larson, 1982), making it difficult to measure accurately.

Information on other organ systems was compiled from the same sources as spleen mass. I collated data on heart, liver, lungs, testes and brain mass, as these organs were likely to be measured consistently by different researchers (see Larson, 1982); data were available for more than 15 species per organ. However, some uncertainties arose that should be considered when interpreting the results. It sometimes was impossible to determine from publications if liver mass included the mass of the gall bladder. Similarly, sources often were unclear about whether lung mass was for a single lung or paired lungs, although the latter is most likely and, therefore, assumed here. Despite these uncertainties, all organ masses were
highly correlated with body mass (Table 2), as expected if signal in the data exceeds these potential sources of error. Spleen mass also showed a strong relationship with body mass (Fig. 1), as found in other studies of mammals (Stahl, 1965) and birds (Harvey et al., 1991; John, 1995; Møller, 1997a; Møller et al., 1998b; Morand and Poulin, 2000).

For group size, population density and the percentage of time spent in terrestrial locomotion, I used information from an unpublished comparative database (unpublished data used here are available at: http://faculty.virginia.edu/charlienunn or http://www.evolutionary-ecology.com/data/1372dataset.html). In addition to using the percentage of time spent in terrestrial locomotion, substrate use was examined as a ranked discrete variable, with arboreal species = 1, terrestrial species in a wooded environment = 2 and terrestrial species

Table 1. Organ data used in the comparative tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Spleen (g)</th>
<th>Body mass (g)</th>
<th>Alternative spleen mass?</th>
<th>Reference for primary data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Alouatta caraya</em></td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>4 983</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stahl <em>et al.</em> (1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alouatta palliata</em></td>
<td>45.68</td>
<td>6 174</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crile and Quiring (1940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aotus trivirgatus</em></td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>739</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baer (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ateles geoffroyi</em></td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>7 630</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crile and Quiring (1940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Callithrix jacchus</em></td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>307.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wadsworth <em>et al.</em> (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cebus apella</em></td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2 160</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schwartz <em>et al.</em> (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cebus capucinus</em></td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3 101</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crile and Quiring (1940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cercocetus galeritus</em></td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>6 000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kennard and Willner (1941c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cercocetus torquatus</em></td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kennard and Willner (1941c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cercopithecus aethiops</em></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1 422</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Sauer and Fegley (1960)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cercopithecus mitis</em></td>
<td>85.16</td>
<td>4 937</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crile and Quiring (1940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Galago garnettii</em></td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td>Makita <em>et al.</em> (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gorilla gorilla</em></td>
<td>192.8</td>
<td>65 000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Kennard and Willner (1941a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Homo sapiens</em></td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>56 000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Spector (1956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hylobates lar</em></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5 700</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kennard and Willner (1941a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macaca cyclops</em></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10 300</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Makita <em>et al.</em> (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macaca fascicularis</em></td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>3 300</td>
<td></td>
<td>Larson (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macaca fuscata</em></td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>5 825</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Makita <em>et al.</em> (1984, 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macaca mulatta</em></td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>5 210</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Larson (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macaca radiata</em></td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>4 530</td>
<td></td>
<td>Larson (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pan troglodytes</em></td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>30 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kennard and Willner (1941a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Papio anubis</em></td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>12 700</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Gest and Siegel (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Papio cynocephalus</em></td>
<td>17.79</td>
<td>12 970</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Larson (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Papio ursinus</em></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>14 500</td>
<td></td>
<td>McConnell <em>et al.</em> (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saguinus oedipus</em></td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>793</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crile and Quiring (1940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saimiri oerstedii</em></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>607</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crile and Quiring (1940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saimiri sciureus</em></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Middleton and Rosal (1972)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tarsius spectrum</em></td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kennard and Willner (1941c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Yes: secondary estimates of spleen mass were available in other references.*
in an open (savanna) environment \(=3\) (Nunn and van Schaik, 2001). Information on activity period (diurnal vs nocturnal) was taken from Nunn and van Schaik (2001). Data on age at first reproduction, longevity, weaning age and the interbirth interval were taken mainly from Ross and Jones (1999). Diet codes were acquired from Nunn and van Schaik (2001) and percentages of specific dietary components (leaves, fruit) were obtained from the literature. Grooming is commonly thought to have both hygienic and social functions in primates, but hygienic benefits are likely to be restricted to removal of ectoparasites and this behaviour may actually spread directly transmitted diseases, such as those with fecal–oral transmission. I therefore tested for an association between spleen mass and grooming frequency, with grooming data taken from Dunbar (1991). For analysis of mating partner number, species were classified following van Schaik et al. (1999), with females having a single mate per oestrous cycle, variation between single and multiple mates per cycle (1+ mates) and many mates. The duration of oestrus was taken from van Schaik et al. (1999). Sexual dimorphism in body mass was calculated from data in Smith and Jungers

### Table 2. Relationships between organ mass and body mass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phylogenetic analysis</th>
<th>Non-phylogenetic analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(N_{\text{contrasts}})</td>
<td>Slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lungs</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** All results significant at \(P < 0.001\) in two-tailed tests.

Numbers differ in analysis of contrasts and species values due to polytomies in the primate tree (Purvis and Rambaut, 1995).

![Fig. 1. Allometry of spleen mass in primates. Axes show spleen mass and body mass for species values (a) and independent contrasts (b). Triangles in (a) represent alternative values for eight species used to re-test hypotheses that were significant with the primary dataset. Crosses in (B) represent outliers, which may exert undue leverage on the statistical tests. Analyses were repeated with and without these outliers, but the results were largely the same.](image-url)
(1997), while estimates of canine dimorphism were obtained from Plavcan and van Schaik (1992; GDCI measures based on principal components analysis of six canine dimensions).

Life-history variables are highly correlated with body mass in primates (Harvey and Clutton-Brock, 1985). I controlled for body mass in analyses of organs and life-history traits by using residuals from the regression of the trait of interest on body mass (Harvey and Pagel, 1991). When the same body mass estimates are used for X and Y variables, however, the ‘Economos problem’ arises: a body mass estimate measured with error will tend to bias estimates of residuals for both X and Y traits in a similar direction, creating potentially spurious correlations (Barton and Dunbar, 1997). This problem was avoided by using different estimates of body mass when controlling for the X and Y variables, although to analyse trade-offs among some organs this solution was not available, as the data on body mass came from the same samples. For tests of hypotheses involving life-history variables, I also examined patterns without controlling for mass, because a recent life-history model (Kozłowski and Weiner, 1997) suggests that body mass is a consequence, rather than a cause, of life-history evolution (for an excellent review, see Harvey and Purvis, 1999).

**Comparative methods**

In testing the above hypotheses and identifying confounding variables, I based my conclusions on results from phylogenetically independent contrasts (Felsenstein, 1985; Harvey and Pagel, 1991). In calculating contrasts, I used the computer program CAIC (Purvis and Rambaut, 1995), with the phylogeny and branch lengths from Purvis (1995). All statistical tests reported in this paper are one-tailed when testing directional hypotheses, but two-tailed if specific directional predictions were not possible (for correlations among organs) or when exploring comparative patterns using multivariate methods.

The method of independent contrasts makes several statistical and evolutionary assumptions (Purvis and Rambaut, 1995). Violation of these assumptions has been shown to alter the statistical properties (Type I and II error rates) of tests that use independent contrasts (Purvis et al., 1994; Diaz-Uriarte and Garland, 1996, 1998). To ensure that the contrasts were properly standardized using branch length information, I tested for an association between the absolute value of contrasts and their standard deviations (Garland et al., 1992; Purvis and Rambaut, 1995; Nunn and Barton, 2001). I also examined the association between contrasts and estimates of nodal values (Purvis and Rambaut, 1995; Freckleton, 2000). These tests revealed that log-transformed data and equal branch lengths best met the assumptions of independent contrasts for most tests. After appropriate data and branch length transformations, the assumptions were generally upheld, but some contrasts were identified as outliers. I therefore conducted analyses with and without these outliers. The results were generally the same, but removal of outliers is likely to give conservative results and so these results are presented here.

Another assumption is that the phylogeny used in the tests accurately reflects the evolutionary history of the species being compared. The Purvis (1995) tree is the only phylogeny available for all living primates with information on branch lengths, which are needed to standardize contrasts (Felsenstein, 1985). I based my conclusions on phylogenetic analyses, but I also conducted non-phylogenetic tests. Comparison of phylogenetic and non-phylogenetic results often can reveal the presence of confounding variables shared
through common descent (Purvis and Webster 1999; Nunn and Barton, 2001), and non-
phylogenetic tests provide more reliable results under an alternative model of trait evolution
(Price, 1997; Harvey and Rambaut, 2000).

Comparative results may be sensitive to the data set used, especially when dealing with
variables that are difficult to quantify such as mating promiscuity or life-history traits.
Although spleen data are fairly limited, in eight species I was able to find an additional
estimate of spleen mass; I used these alternative estimates in a second set of tests. Thus,
I used the best estimates of spleen mass for my primary analyses, with precedence for
one reference source over another based on the number of spleens analysed, the avail-
ability of data on other organs and clear specification of age–sex categories. For significant
results, I then repeated the tests using the alternative estimates. I also repeated some
tests with alternative measures for brain size (Harvey et al., 1987; Barton, 1999) and testes
mass (Harcourt et al., 1995).

Confounding variables and multivariate analyses

Prior to testing the hypotheses, I examined several potential confounding variables. Activity
period is a major factor influencing primate socio-ecology, with nocturnal species living
in smaller groups and using arboreal substrates (Nunn and van Schaik, 2001). Only two
contrasts were available for assessing the effect of activity period on relative spleen mass,
giving a non-significant result, but non-phylogenetic analysis revealed no clear pattern
($F_{1,28} = 0.14$). Diet is another factor thought to influence key socio-ecological parameters
in primates (e.g. Clutton-Brock and Harvey, 1977; Janson and Goldsmith, 1995), but I
found no significant dietary correlates of relative spleen mass.

I performed two types of multivariate analyses to assess the relative roles of variables
used in the bivariate tests. First, I used forward and backward stepwise regression to analyse
contrasts in the main predictor variables. When more than one variable was available for
testing a hypothesis, such as the life-history hypothesis, I chose variables so as to maximize
the number of contrasts. Thus, I included as predictor variables group size, substrate
use (discrete), mating promiscuity (discrete), residual interbirth interval, body mass
dimorphism and body mass (from spleen references), with spleen mass as the response
variable. Variables were entered in forward inclusion or removed in backward elimination
if the significance probability was greater than 0.25. I also repeated the analyses with an
alternative life-history variable that was significant in bivariate tests (age of weaning) and
using species data (i.e. non-phylogenetic analysis).

Second, I performed principal components analysis (PCA) on contrasts and
species values to determine how suites of variables influence patterns of variation in
spleen mass. I investigated the comparative patterns by calculating PCA scores, assessing
the influence (loadings) of predictor variables on these scores and then testing whether
PCA scores explain significant variation in spleen mass. In initial tests using the predictor
variables from the stepwise model, I found that body mass was the primary variable
influencing PCA scores. Because body mass was also the best predictor of spleen mass
in bivariate tests (Table 2), these PCA scores tended to explain significant variation in
spleen mass, but it was difficult to assess the relative roles of the other parameters, such
as group size or life-history variables. I therefore repeated the analyses using spleen mass
corrected for body mass as the response variable, eliminating body mass as a variable in
the PCA.
RESULTS

Hypotheses for disease risk and sexual selection

Neither group size nor population density explained significant variation in relative spleen mass using independent contrasts (group size: \( b = 0.048, F_{1,25} = 0.08, P = 0.39 \); population density: \( b = -0.18, F_{1,23} = 2.67, P = 0.94 \)). The results remained non-significant when using species values in non-phylogenetic tests. Grooming frequency was also unrelated to relative spleen mass in an analysis of independent contrasts \( (b = 0.11, F_{1,12} = 0.20, P = 0.32) \) and when using species values.

Contrary to predictions, spleen mass tended to decline with increasing percentage of time on the ground \( (b = -0.10, F_{1,11} = 4.74) \). In exploring further this pattern using species values, the results were significant in a two-tailed test \( (b = -0.26, F_{1,12} = 14.4, P = 0.003) \). Use of discrete classes of substrate use revealed that relative spleen mass declined in four of six transitions to terrestrial substrate use \( (t_5 = 1.79) \).

Spleen mass was unrelated to discrete classifications of mating promiscuity (only three of six contrasts were positive: \( t_5 = 0.05, P = 0.48 \)). I also used quantitative data on mating promiscuity involving relative testes mass (a measure of sperm competition) and the duration of oestrus (a longer duration of oestrus is correlated with increased mating promiscuity; van Schaik et al., 1999). After correcting testes mass for body mass, the results were non-significant \( (b = 0.08, F_{1,14} = 0.06) \), including analyses with the data set on testes from Harcourt et al. (1995; \( b = 0.02, F_{1,16} = 0.01 \)) and in non-phylogenetic tests. The duration of oestrus also failed to explain significant variation in relative spleen mass using contrasts \( (b = 0.08, F_{1,22} = 0.25, \text{n.s.}) \) and species values.

Several life-history variables showed significant relationships with relative spleen mass (Table 3). Residual interbirth interval increased with relative spleen mass in contrasts analysis \( (b = 0.90, F_{1,21} = 3.29, P = 0.042) \), but this relationship was driven by two outliers and became non-significant when these outliers were excluded (Table 3). Use of species data revealed similar patterns but with some scatter (Fig. 2) and the results were not consistent for all life-history variables (Table 3). Congruent results were obtained when using the alternative estimates of spleen mass and with life-history variables uncorrected for body mass.

Relative spleen mass was unrelated to dimorphism in canine size \( (b = -0.17, F_{1,16} = 0.12, P = 0.63) \) or body mass \( (b = 0.29, F_{1,25} = 1.36, P = 0.13) \). Non-phylogenetic tests provided similar results.

Correlations and trade-offs among organ systems

In analysing associations among organ systems, the statistical results were mixed in two-tailed tests. Liver mass was related to spleen mass after controlling both variables for body mass \( (b = 1.43, F_{1,23} = 9.31, P = 0.006) \). However, this positive relationship became a non-significant trend when outliers were included \( (b = 0.72, F_{1,26} = 1.50, P = 0.23) \), with the alternative spleen data set \( (b = 0.80, F_{1,23} = 1.96, P = 0.18) \) and in non-phylogenetic tests \( (b = 0.77, F_{1,23} = 1.60, P = 0.22) \). It has been proposed that brain mass is negatively correlated with the digestive system in primates (Aiello and Wheeler, 1995), but for the immune system there was no significant relationship between brain mass and spleen mass after correcting both variables for body mass \( (b = -0.63, F_{1,16} = 1.07, P = 0.32) \).
results also were non-significant in non-phylogenetic tests and when using alternative data on brain mass ($b = -0.38$, $F_{1,23} = 0.37$, $P = 0.55$). Finally, as noted above, there was no significant association between spleen mass and testes mass, with $F$-statistics less than 1. Thus, I found no support for trade-offs among organ systems and the spleen.

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Life-history variables and spleen mass, correcting both for body mass using residuals}
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\hline
 & Phylogenetic analysis & & Non-phylogenetic analysis \\
 & $N_{\text{contrasts}}$ & Slope & $F$-statistic & $N_{\text{species}}$ & Slope & $F$-statistic \\
\hline
Interbirth interval & 20 & 0.0063 & 0.00$^*$ & 23 & 1.31 & 13.1*** \\
Longevity & 23 & −0.061 & 0.03 & 25 & −0.31 & 0.31 \\
Age at first reproduction & 24 & −0.43 & 0.88 & 26 & 0.52 & 0.82 \\
Weaning age & 17 & 0.53 & 3.37$^*$ & 19 & 0.87 & 4.37$^*$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

$^*$ Results significant when two outliers are included. See text for details.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figures/fig2}
\caption{Associations among life-history variables and spleen mass. All variables are corrected for body mass, using different body mass estimates to control for the ‘Economos’ problem (see Methods). These plots show species values (non-phylogenetic analysis). Statistical results for contrasts and species values are presented in Table 3.}
\end{figure}
Multivariate analyses

In multivariate analysis of contrasts, only two variables were entered in the stepwise model and both were significant in two-tailed tests: spleen mass increased with body mass and declined with shifts to terrestrial substrate use (Table 4). In non-phylogenetic tests, however, substrate use was replaced by residual interbirth interval in the stepwise model (b = 1.30, F_{1,20} = 12.7, P = 0.002), with body mass remaining significant. I also repeated analyses after excluding nocturnal species from the analysis, on the basis that activity period influenced leukocyte counts in primates (Nunn, in press), but this provided qualitatively similar results. Use of weaning age rather than interbirth interval conformed with this pattern: weaning age explained significant variation in non-phylogenetic analysis, but not when using independent contrasts.

In PCA of contrasts, PCA-1 explained 41.3% of the variance among the predictor variables; PCA-2 explained an additional 24.3% of the variance. For PCA-1, the largest loadings (in absolute magnitude) were for group size and terrestriality (Table 5), with their identical signs reflecting the well-known correlation among these traits in previous comparative studies (Clutton-Brock and Harvey, 1977; Nunn and Barton, 2000, 2001). For PCA-2, the largest loadings were for residual interbirth interval and sexual dimorphism, which had opposite signs. Neither of these PCA scores explained significant variation in residual spleen mass (PCA-1: b = 0.016, F_{1,19} = 2.67, P = 0.12; PCA-2: b = 0.021, F_{1,19} = 2.86, P = 0.11). After factor rotation, however, one factor had positive coefficients for residual interbirth interval and negative coefficients for terrestriality (Table 5); this factor explained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Multivariate analysis of contrasts for key hypotheses (n = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log body mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestriality codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual dimorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mating promiscuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual interbirth interval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, all two-tailed tests in multiple regression through the origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Factor loadings from PCA analysis of contrasts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotated factor 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
significant variation in relative spleen mass \( (b = 0.046, F_{1,19} = 6.44, P = 0.02) \). PCA-3 and PCA-4 increased the variance explained among the predictor variables to 96%, but neither of these PCA scores accounted for significant variation in relative spleen mass.

Further exploration of independent contrasts using PCA failed to reveal additional patterns of interest. In non-phylogenetic analysis, however, PCA-1 explained 45.7% of the variance in the predictor variables, with PCA-2 explaining an additional 19.1%. The largest weighting for PCA-2 was for residual interbirth interval \( (r = 0.68; \text{all but mating partner number were positive}) \) and PCA-2 explained significant variation in relative spleen mass \( (b = 0.17, F_{1,21} = 6.75, P = 0.02) \).

**DISCUSSION**

This study used data on primate spleen mass to examine the correlates of immune defence structures. Even after controlling for potentially confounding variables, most predictions went unsupported. An important exception involved life history: spleen mass, after controlling for body mass, showed a significant association with life-history variables. Not all life-history traits showed a significant relationship, however, which is similar to the results for life-history traits in analyses of primate and carnivore leukocyte counts (Nunn, in press; C.L. Nunn et al., unpublished). In primates, for example, neutrophils increased with age at first reproduction and lymphocytes increased with the interbirth interval (unpublished results), while in carnivores, neutrophils increased with age at sexual maturity and gestation length (C.L. Nunn et al., unpublished). Because the life-history hypothesis for disease risk focuses on the importance of a long lifespan, it is striking that longevity was not significant in any of these tests and had a negative slope in analyses involving the spleen.

Only one significant result was found in tests of correlations among organ systems. After controlling for body mass, animals with larger spleens have larger livers, although the results were not significant in all tests. This association might be expected because the liver is the site of development of some B-cells, especially in the fetus (Roitt et al., 1998); however, adult organ masses were analysed here.

**Comparison with other taxa**

These comparative results contrast sharply with comparative patterns found in analyses of the avian spleen. For example, Møller (1997a) documented an association between spleen mass and sexual dichromatism, which is a surrogate measure of sexual selection. Other immune defence parameters also correlate with sexual selection in birds, including leukocyte counts, thymus mass and the bursa of Fabricius, using measures of sexual selection that involve body mass dimorphism, extra-pair copulation frequency and sexual dichromatism (e.g. Møller, 1997a; Møller et al., 1998a; P.M. Bennett et al., unpublished data). The results from birds can be interpreted in terms of female choice or male–male competition. Møller (1997a) argued that the association between spleen mass and immune system structures is based on female choice (see also Møller et al., 1998a). By comparison, Bennett et al. (unpublished data) suggest that a positive association between leukocytes and avian body size dimorphism reflects risks of infection associated with male intra-sexual competition. These relationships are not mutually exclusive because inter-sexual selection and intra-sexual competition are often correlated (Berglund et al., 1996).
Another pattern found in birds, but not in primates, involves an association with sociality. Colonially nesting birds were found to have larger spleens and bursa of Fabricius (Møller and Erritzoe, 1996), while comparative study of the immune response revealed a significant effect of sociality among swallows and martins (Møller et al., 2001). Although not directly related to spleen mass, these studies suggest that sociality can influence immune system parameters. Within primates, a comparative study of the prevalence of malaria revealed that animals living in larger groups experience greater risk of acquiring parasites (Davies et al., 1991). Malaria is vector-transmitted, and animals living in larger groups have been proposed to reduce vector-transmitted parasites through a ‘selfish herd’ effect (Freeland, 1977; see also Côté and Poulin, 1995), although Davies et al. (1991) noted that larger groups may also attract more actively searching insect vectors.

A final difference between primates and birds involves organ trade-offs. Morand and Poulin (2000) found a negative association between relative spleen mass and relative testes mass, suggesting that this pattern reflects a trade-off between these two organ systems. No such relationship was found in primates. Another trade-off proposed for primates is the ‘expensive tissue hypothesis’, which states that the metabolic requirements of a relatively larger brain are offset by a reduction in gut tissue (Aiello and Wheeler, 1995). Other metabolically costly organ systems, such as the immune system, may therefore be expected to show trade-offs with the brain, but I found no such trade-off with the spleen.

Comparative tests of spleen mass

There are several reasons why most factors were non-significant in the present study. First, these comparative tests may have low statistical power. I therefore calculated statistical power assuming a medium effect size (Cohen, 1988; Erdfelder et al., 1996) and under the following sample sizes: the smallest number of data points used in the bivariate tests \( n = 12 \), the largest number of data points \( n = 30 \) and the midpoint of these values \( n = 21 \). Using the program G*Power and these parameters, I found that the statistical power of two-tailed tests ranged from 0.23 to 0.54, with a value of 0.39 for the intermediate sample size typical of many of the comparative tests conducted here. Thus, statistical power was generally low to moderate, although use of one-tailed tests for \textit{a priori} predictions increased the statistical power of many tests (Cohen, 1988).

Second, the mammalian spleen functions in both immune defence and production and storage of red blood cells, whereas the avian spleen is less involved with red blood cells (e.g. Harvey et al., 1991). Thus, the patterns found in birds (Morand and Poulin, 2000) may be less striking in mammals (Harvey et al., 1991), especially in tests with only moderate statistical power.

Third, birds and mammals exhibit important differences in factors leading to, and thus the expression of, sexual selection. Sexual selection in birds is commonly thought to involve striking plumage modification, which has been linked to factors involving female choice, parasites and immune defence (Hamilton and Zuk, 1982; Folstad and Karter, 1992; Møller et al., 1999). By comparison, primate sexual selection is reflected most directly in size dimorphism (Clutton-Brock et al., 1977; Plavcan and van Schaik, 1992, 1997; Mitani et al., 1996) and genital anatomy (Harcourt et al., 1995; Harcourt, 1996; Dixson, 1998), with few links yet established to male ornamentation within or across species (Smuts, 1987). Hence, these fundamental differences in the expression of sexual selection may explain the different comparative patterns in immune defence structures in birds and primates.
Finally, variability in the size of the spleen within species may conceal differences across species. This is a valid concern when examining immune defence parameters because values may vary substantially among individuals or within an individual over time (i.e. due to the immune response; Roitt et al., 1998). This issue is heightened in the present case because most of the data are from captive animals that may be exposed to novel parasites and antibiotics to control infections. Counter to this argument, spleen mass was highly correlated with body mass (Table 2, Fig. 1; $r^2 = 0.83$ in the species analysis), suggesting that the sample sizes were large enough to detect meaningful patterns despite intraspecific variability in organ mass. Nonetheless, other organ systems listed in Table 2 gave consistently higher $r^2$ values (>0.90 using species values), and if spleen mass is largely a facultative response to current conditions, then correlations that exist among spleen size, ecology and sociality are likely to be obscured when using captive animals.

The results suggest that data on spleen mass from the literature are not useful for assessing immune defence and disease risk in mammals. This is important information for future research because it is unlikely that investigators will be able to obtain spleen mass estimates from free-ranging wild primates of known health status. In addition, there were trends for certain life-history traits to increase with spleen mass, but these patterns did not involve the expected relationship with longevity. Although longevity may be measured with greater error than other life-history traits, producing non-significant tests, these comparative results suggest that lifespan is not the variable that underlies the broader patterns of immune defence involving life history. A fuller understanding of the interaction between life history and immune defence, therefore, requires additional theoretical and comparative research.
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